Papert's Vision Realized: Constructionism and Generative AI

Ilya Levin[1], Alexei Semenov[2], and Mikael Gorsky[1]

[1] Holon Institute of Technology, Holon, Israel
[2] Association of Teachers of Mathematics, Derby, Great Britain

Abstract

The paper examines how the emergence of generative AI enables the practical implementation of Seymour Papert's constructionist vision in education. Through analysis of constructionism's evolution across three digital epochs – personal computing, networked society, and generative AI – we demonstrate how current AI systems transform from "objects to think with" to "agents to think with," creating new possibilities for learning and knowledge construction. Traditional tools like Logo, while revolutionary, remained passive and deterministic. In contrast, modern AI systems function as interactive learning partners, capable of adaptive response and engagement in higher-order thinking. This transformation represents a fundamental epistemological shift from emphasis on universal principles to valuing context-specific, emergent knowledge. The paper argues that generative AI naturally aligns with constructionist principles by supporting personalized learning pathways, enabling metacognitive dialogue, and facilitating collaborative knowledge construction. The convergence of artificial intelligence with constructionist learning theories is bringing forth an era where human-AI collaboration fosters the co-evolution of knowledge, aligning with Papert's vision and introducing unprecedented opportunities in education.

Keywords and Phrases: Constructionism, Generative AI, Learning Agent, Epistemological Shift, Metacognitive Thinking.

1. Introduction

The transformative changes happening in the world, caused by artificial intelligence (AI) cannot be overstated. These rapid advancements have created an opportunity to apply constructionist methods more broadly in education.

The emergence of generative AI (GenAI) systems embodies characteristics that Papert envisioned (Papert, 1990) but could not fully realize with the technology of his time. The integration of GenAI into educational practices provides an unprecedented opportunity to realize Papert's vision of learning through creation, exploration, and personal meaning-making on a scale previously unimaginable, and creates possibilities for technology to serve as an interactive learning partner rather than a passive tool (Papert & Solomon, 1971).

Levin, I., Semenov, A., & Gorsky, M. (2025). Papert's Vision Realized: Constructionism and Generative AI. *Constructionism Conference Proceedings, 8/2025*, 419–426. https://doi.org/10.21240/constr/2025/36.X

The timing of this technological revolution is particularly significant. As educational systems worldwide grapple with the challenges of preparing students for an increasingly complex and rapidly changing world, constructionist approaches offer a promising framework for meaningful learning.

However, this opportunity also presents significant challenges and raises questions that require careful consideration from the educational research community. A pedagogical reflection on this wave of concerns can be found in Sidorkin (2025a).

This convergence of constructionism and GenAI invites us to reconsider how technology can reshape learning. It prompts a reexamination of foundational educational concepts, from how knowledge is constructed to the role of technology as a partner in thought. These shifts underscore the urgency of adapting pedagogical frameworks to harness the potential of GenAI effectively.

In Levin et al. (2025), the evolution of the constructionist approach is examined in the context of contemporary AI advancements and a retrospective analysis of the educational landscape. This paper examines three interrelated aspects of constructionism that have gained renewed relevance in light of the integration of Generative AI: the epistemological transformations prompted by GenAI technologies; the shift from an 'object to think with' to an 'agent to think with'; and the increasing prominence of metacognitive skills in learning.

2. Epistemological transformation caused by GenAI

The emergence of GenAI marks a profound epistemological transformation that transcends mere technological innovation, fundamentally reshaping our understanding of knowledge creation and acquisition.

Traditional epistemology, rooted in scientific methodology, emphasize the pursuit of universal principles through processes of generalization and abstraction. This approach sought to distill complex phenomena into fundamental laws and principles, creating hierarchical knowledge structures that are systematically taught and learned.

In contrast, GenAI introduces a fundamentally different approach to knowledge generation and understanding. First, it challenges the traditional primacy of explanation over prediction GenAI systems excel at producing accurate predictions and generating useful outputs without necessarily providing explicit explanations of their internal processes. This shift suggests and in fact is producing a new form of knowledge where practical efficacy may take precedence over theoretical transparency. Second, the epistemological transformation introduces a new relationship between knowledge and creation. Traditional epistemology viewed knowledge as something to be discovered or uncovered, existing independently of human observation. GenAI, however, demonstrates how knowledge can be actively created through the interaction between systems and users.

Furthermore, this transformation challenges the deterministic worldview. Where classical approaches sought certainty and definitive answers, GenAI embraces probability and multiplicity. This shift aligns with contemporary understanding of complexity and chaos theory, knowledge itself might be better understood as a space of possibilities rather than a collection of fixed truths.

Scientific methodology incorporating GenAI can explore vast possibility spaces, generating novel solutions and insights that might never have emerged through conventional deductive approaches. Creativity and generation play as important a role as analysis and deduction.

In education, this vision suggests moving away from standardized curricula and uniform learning objectives toward more personalized and context-sensitive approaches. This epistemological transformation aligns particularly well with constructionist learning theory, as it emphasizes the importance of individual experience and context in knowledge creation, argues for learning through making and doing, considering mistake as an impetus for development, GenAI demonstrates how knowledge can emerge through active engagement and creation rather than passive reception of established truths. The age of GenAI thus ushers in a new epistemological paradigm that values specificity over generality, emergence over reduction, and creation over discovery.

3. Constructionism via three epochs

We identify three epochs: the advent of personal computers, the proliferation of global network technologies, and the current advancement of artificial intelligence. Each epoch brought changes to our understanding and implementing constructionist principles, reflecting the technological capabilities and social contexts of their times.

In the first epoch (late 1970s-early 1990s) Seymour Papert introduced constructionism as a revolutionary approach to learning (Harel & Papert, 1991), where students are using programming languages like Logo to build their own "microworlds." Even then the PC was seen not merely as a calculation device, but as a powerful tool that could transform how people understand the world and interact with it. This era's key contribution was the development of computational thinking – a fundamentally new way for learners to engage with abstract concepts through concrete manipulation and experimentation, primarily, but not only, in the Digital. Symbolically Papert was invited to MIT by Minsky to start the AI Lab with him, and Logo was originally designed by people from AI department of BBN. (Kahn & Winters, 2021). Early Logo activities included natural language processing, robotics, and AI-driven game players. This history continued into the 2010s, with tools like Snap! and MIT App Inventor incorporating AI components, such as speech recognition and deep neural networks, enabling learners to create and train AI models.

Naturally achievements of this epoch as well as the second one are used by the third in an advanced form. So, when we say 'Logo' we mean the fully developed Logo-approach; the most popular example today is Scratch.

The second epoch (1990s – early 2020s) was characterized by the emergence of the Internet and social networks expanded learning to global collaboration. Students could now share their creations and knowledge across borders, leading to a more interconnected learning experience. During this period, the concept of personality itself evolved to encompass digital identities and online interactions. The emphasis shifted from purely individual construction to the co-construction of knowledge through networked interactions.

The third epoch (2022-present) have witnessed the emergence of GenAI. We believe that it can bring an opportunity for a new step in constructionist education. GenAI will enable more personalized and adaptive learning experiences while supporting both individual creativity and collaborative knowledge construction. The traditional roles of teachers will encompass human-AI co-creation of knowledge. Our perspective is elaborated in the next chapters of this paper.

Each epoch built upon the foundations laid by the previous one, with constructionism adapting to leverage new technological capabilities while maintaining its core principle of learning through active creation. The progression shows a clear evolution from individual construction to collaborative creation, and finally to AI-enhanced co-creation of knowledge, demonstrating constructionism's enduring relevance and adaptability in educational practice.

Each epoch is bringing threats challenges and meeting a counter revolution.

4. From "object to think with" to "agent to (co-)think with"

Papert's original "objects to think with," such as in the Logo programming environment, help learners externalize their thinking and engage with abstract concepts through concrete manipulation. These tools, while revolutionary in their enormous openness and flexibility, remained fundamentally passive and deterministic – they respond to user input in expected ways and require explicit programming. The learner needs to fully articulate their intentions through formal commands, making the learning process challenging and motivating but sometimes restrictive.

We suggest transition from the constructionist's 'object to think with' to 'agent to think with.' An "agent" refers to an autonomous, interactive entity capable of perceiving its environment and making decisions to achieve specific goals operate proactively, exhibiting behaviors such as reactivity, and social ability, enabling it to collaborate, negotiate, and adapt to changing conditions.

These "agents to think with" possess characteristics altering the learning experience. First, they exhibit contextual understanding and adaptive response. AI agents can interpret nuanced queries, recognize implicit connections, and generate contextually appropriate responses. This creates learning dialogue that adapts to the learner's evolving understanding what Wegerif (2019) describes as "being called into dialogue by others" – where learning occurs through active engagement with both specific others and "generalized others" representing cultural voices. Second, agents demonstrate initiative in the learning process. Rather than waiting for explicit instructions or inquires, they can proactively suggest new directions for exploration, identify potential misconceptions, and offer alternative perspectives. This proactive engagement helps scaffold learning in ways that static environments and tools cannot. Third, AI agents possess the ability to model and engage in higher-order thinking processes. They can demonstrate problem-solving strategies, engage in metacognitive discussions, and help learners reflect on their own thinking processes. Fourth, agents in systems like ChatGPT can be highly personalized, effectively serving as "second self", as "cognitive mirrors", "magnifying glasses", reflecting enhanced versions of the learner's own thought processes. This creates a unique, previously unknown form of intellectual collaboration.

Furthermore, this transformation challenges traditional notions of educational technology's role. Rather than serving merely as amplifiers of human capability or repositories of information, AI agents become active participants in the knowledge construction process. They can engage in genuine dialogue, challenge assumptions, and contribute novel perspectives to the learning experience.

This shift redefines the boundaries between tool and partner, learner and teacher. As these agents become more sophisticated, they increasingly embody Papert's vision of technology as a means for intellectual empowerment while introducing new possibilities he could not have anticipated.

5. Fostering Metacognitive Awareness

Constructionism, which emphasizes learning through the creation of personally meaningful artifacts and reflection on that process, offers a vital framework for cultivating metacognitive skills. In constructionist learning, the process of building – whether programming a turtle in Logo to draw shapes or designing a project – requires planning, execution, and reflection. This mirrors the skills needed for interaction with GenAI, where learners must monitor and adapt their cognitive strategies: plan prompts, execute them, and reflect on the outcomes..

GenAI's unique attributes – its ability to generate variable, context-sensitive outputs and act as a cognitive partner – demand a specialized subset of metacognitive competencies, which we term Meta-AI skills. These skills involve critically examining human-AI interactions, interpreting outputs, and strategically leveraging GenAI's generative potential (Sidorkin, 2025b). Meta-AI skills address the complexities of AI engagement, requiring learners to formulate effective prompts, evaluate relevance, and iterate based on feedback.

GenAI's ease of use poses a risk of passive consumption, where learners accept outputs without critical engagement, undermining constructionism's emphasis on active creation. To address this, educators must design learning experiences that integrate GenAI into constructionist practices, encouraging reflection on AI interactions. For example, students might use GenAI to generate initial ideas for a project, with teacher guiding them to reflect on how they're using the AI, what they're learning, and how it impacts their understanding. This ensures that learners develop Meta-AI skills, fostering a culture of self-aware, adaptable thinking that is essential for meaningful collaboration with AI.

Recent research highlights the metacognitive demands of GenAI – such as crafting prompts and evaluating outputs – which constructionism can address through the integration of reflective practices (Tankelevitch et al., 2024). For example, students might use AI-enhanced tools like Snap! to create AI models while reflecting on their design and functionality.

6. Mathetics in the Age of Generative AI: Learning through Creation, Agency, and Subjectivity

Jan Amos Komensky (Comenius) is considered a great teacher of humanity. Seymour Papert distinguished the first (of two) part "Mathetics," of Komensky's last book. Mathetics, by Komensky, is the science, art, and technology of learning, in contrast to Didactics, which relates to teaching.

At its core, constructionism emphasizes learning through creation rather than instruction, and GenAI serves as an ideal partner in this creative process. The computer provided simple but powerful environments and tools for building, like Logo. It has given great scope for creativity far beyond the limits of the physical world. It is easy to imagine how generative visual AI emerges as a tool for constructivist activity, as was the case with Logo and LEGO. Therefore, let's take a closer look at the constructionist perspective of verbal generative AI. To do this, it seems useful to briefly consider the 3 epochs and even broader role of technology in the creation of texts by students, and by humans in general.

The arrival of digital technologies – our first epoch in school writing, of course, began with the keyboard. One of the authors (AS) clearly remembers a short discussion in which Seymour and several of his closest followers participated. AS said that along with the general line of constructionism, mechanical training can also be useful for mastering the keyboard. This statement caused outrage from one of the participants (supported by others) in the discussion. That person was the leading figure in Logo community, the strongest mathematician and programmer and a pedagogically reasonable person. He said that mastering the keyboard for the sake of writing speed is harmful, it should be mastered along the way, without special exercises. Seymour was 'less of a royalist than the king himself' and displayed a pragmatic flexibility toward his own views. Seymour agreed that something like developing technical skills is possible. At the same time, it was clear to us that the text editor was really changing the writing in a very radical constructivist direction.

For the student now:
- The most important thing is the freedom to change, improve, and correct "mistakes," which is what Seymour always was talking about.
- The possibility of intermittent work on planning, writing individual sections, returning and rewriting is supported
- It is easy to account for feedback obtained from a teacher or a peer; written an oral dialog in digital became a common activity they can evolve into co-creation
- The student gets rid of calligraphy and spelling where they slow down and get in the way
- It is convenient to find the right quote in the original source and copy it from there

The next thing that happened was the integration of spoken and written texts. You can also include an on–screen presentation, but first of all, it is automatic transcription. This does not negate the benefits of a text editor, it is necessary to own it, because the written text has its advantages, and the editor allows you to flexibly change it and perceive large sections of it on the screen, etc.

These are the opportunities achieved over the second epoch. The Internet has provided for this a huge number of creations by others. And the task of building something of your own has become an important challenge. Papert highlighted the risks of superficial information consumption in the Internet age – what he termed the 'grasshopper' approach of mindlessly hopping between websites. The solution lies not in restricting access but in conceptualizing Internet resources as material for constructionist activity. As Newman et al. (2024) emphasize, children's engagement

with information requires appropriate scaffolding and context. This transforms the traditional roles of teachers and educational materials from authoritative sources to what Williams (2022) terms "guides in digital knowledge construction".

GenAI systems engage in dynamic dialogue with learners, enabling them to explore ideas, test hypotheses, and create meaningful artifacts. This interaction embodies Papert's vision of learning through making, but with an unprecedented level of sophistication and responsiveness. Generative AI has given you a partner and helper in building using the creations of others. Construction has become technically as easy as possible. Building something of your own has become the main goal, the most valuable achievement. You must determine for yourself the proportion of what you have contributed to the result. Having built something and subjectively defined your own role, you can ask about precedents and your 'objective' degree of originality. The answer is not significantly decisive. Crucial is your original contribution to the process while it was going on. This contribution is recorded in the digital environment and can be discussed with the teacher or with AI.

A possible, though not the only, direct goal is to talk about yourself, your research, findings, feelings, aspirations, and your loved ones and also about what is happening to me, what I want, how I see it, etc. It is more real than reproducing the learned and occasionally understood thoughts of others. In this, AI can help by providing a transition from an almost internal, confusing monologue to something outwardly understandable, but preserving the individuality of the author. The dialogue between the student and the AI is also significant. The teacher also joins them in this dialogue at the necessary moments. AI saves them time by minimizing the loss of quality.

Personal creation emerges through the interaction between learner and AI, creating unique pathways of understanding that reflect individual interests and perspectives. This shift from knowledge acquisition to knowledge co-creation perfectly aligns with constructionist principles of personal meaning-making. Constructionism here lies in the parallel creation of an object and self-awareness, according to Komensky, admired by Papert: Fabricando fabricamur – 'by creating you create yourself'.

7. Conclusion

The emergence of GenAI marks the realization of Papert's constructionist vision in ways previously unattainable. Our analysis across three digital epochs reveals how AI systems have evolved beyond being mere "objects to think with" to become true "agents to think with", fundamentally transforming the constructionist approach. Where Papert once used Logo to demonstrate the potential of computational tools for learning, today's GenAI systems serve as dynamic partners in knowledge construction, enabling the personalized, interactive learning experiences he envisioned. As we move forward, the challenge lies in thoughtfully implementing these powerful tools while preserving the core constructionist values he championed.

The role of GenAI in knowledge transformation extends beyond individual learning to reshape the entire educational ecosystems. Where traditional education often separated knowledge into discrete subjects and standardized curricula, GenAI enables more organic, interdisciplinary exploration. Learners can follow their interests across traditional boundaries, creating connections and insights that might not emerge in more structured environments.

The result is a new educational paradigm where knowledge is not just constructed but co-evolved through the interaction of human creativity and artificial intelligence. This transformation realizes Papert's vision of technology as a powerful force for learning while introducing new dimensions of opportunity he could not have anticipated. In the GenAI era, constructionist principles find their fullest expression, enabling learning experiences that are simultaneously more personal and more universal, more structured and more free, more individual and more collaborative than ever before.

References

Levin, I., Semenov, A. L., & Gorsky, M. (2025). Smart Learning in the 21st Century: Advancing Constructionism Across Three Digital Epochs. *Education Sciences*, *15*(1), 45.

Kahn, K., & Winters, N. (2021). Constructionism and AI: A history and possible futures. *British Journal of Educational Technology*, *52*(3), 1130-1142.

Newman, M., Sun, K., Dalla Gasperina, I. B., Shin, G. Y., Pedraja, M. K., Kanchi, R., ... & Yip, J. (2024, May). " I want it to talk like Darth Vader": Helping Children Construct Creative Self-Efficacy with Generative AI. In *Proceedings of the 2024 CHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems* (pp. 1-18).

Papert, S. A. (2020). *Mindstorms: Children, computers, and powerful ideas*. Basic books.

Papert, S. & Solomon, C. (1971). Twenty Things to Do with a Computer. Artificial Intelligence Memo #248. Papert, S. (1990). Children, computers and powerful ideas. *New York: Basic Books*, *10*(1990), 1095592.

Harel, I. E., & Papert, S. E. (1991). *Constructionism*. Ablex Publishing.

Sidorkin, S. (2025a). AI in Education in the Media: Moral Panic and Pushback (2022-2025). *AI-EDU Arxiv*.

Sidorkin, A. M. (2025b). AI Integration Blueprint: Transforming Higher Education for the Age of Intelligence. *AI-EDU Arxiv*.

Tankelevitch, L., Kewenig, V., Simkute, A., Scott, A. E., Sarkar, A., Sellen, A., & Rintel, S. (2024, May). The metacognitive demands and opportunities of generative AI. In *Proceedings of the 2024 CHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems* (pp. 1-24).

Wegerif, R. (2019). Towards a dialogic theory of education for the internet age. In *The Routledge international handbook of research on dialogic education* (pp. 14-26). Routledge.

Williams, R. (2022, September). Constructionism, Ethics, and Creativity: Developing Tools for the Future of Education with AI. In *2022 IEEE Symposium on Visual Languages and Human-Centric Computing (VL/HCC)* (pp. 1-3). IEEE.